

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/588,790	Applicant(s) THANNER ET AL.
	Examiner Steven Scully	Art Unit 1727

All Participants:**Status of Application:** 71

- (1) Steven Scully. (3) _____.
 (2) Richard Tushin. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2010**Time:** 11:00AM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

79

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Discussed Amendment to claim 79 to place application in condition for allowance. Contents of Amendment discussed are explicitly found in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/S. S./
 Examiner, Art Unit 1727

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)